Complete Summary

GUIDELINE TITLE

Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Sections 92-106: hematopoetic cell transplant.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Children's Oncology Group. Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Sections 92-106: hematopoetic cell transplant. Bethesda (MD): Children's Oncology Group; 2006 Mar. 17 p. [84 references]

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: Children's Oncology Group. Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Version 1.2. 2004 Mar.

** REGULATORY ALERT **

FDA WARNING/REGULATORY ALERT

Note from the National Guideline Clearinghouse: This guideline references a drug(s) for which important revised regulatory and/or warning information has been released.

- July 31, 2008, Erythropoiesis Stimulating Agents (ESAs): Amgen and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) informed healthcare professionals of modifications to certain sections of the Boxed Warnings, Indications and Usage, and Dosage and Administration sections of prescribing information for Erythropoiesis Stimulating Agents (ESAs). The changes clarify the FDA-approved conditions for use of ESAs in patients with cancer and revise directions for dosing to state the hemoglobin level at which treatment with an ESA should be initiated.
- November 8, 2007 and January 3, 2008 Update, Erythropoiesis Stimulating
 Agents (ESAs): The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) notified
 healthcare professionals of revised boxed warnings and other safety-related
 product labeling changes for erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) stating
 serious adverse events, such as tumor growth and shortened survival in
 patients with advanced cancer and chronic kidney failure.
- <u>September 11, 2007, Rocephin (ceftriaxone sodium)</u>: Roche informed healthcare professionals about revisions made to the prescribing information

for Rocephin to clarify the potential risk associated with concomitant use of Rocephin with calcium or calcium-containing solutions or products.

COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

** REGULATORY ALERT **

SCOPE

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis

RECOMMENDATIONS

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT

CATEGORIES

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

DISCLAIMER

SCOPE

DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

Late effects resulting from hematopoietic cell transplantation, with or without graft versus host disease, to treat pediatric malignancies

Effects include dental, dermatologic, gastrointestinal, immunologic, musculoskeletal, ophthalmologic, and reproductive sequelae and secondary malignancies.

Note: These guidelines are intended for use beginning two or more years following the completion of cancer therapy, and provide a framework for ongoing late effects monitoring in childhood cancer survivors; however, these guidelines are not intended to provide guidance for follow-up of the pediatric cancer survivor's primary disease.

GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Evaluation Management Prevention Screening

CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Allergy and Immunology Dentistry Dermatology Endocrinology Family Practice Gastroenterology Infectious Diseases Internal Medicine
Obstetrics and Gynecology
Oncology
Ophthalmology
Pediatrics
Pulmonary Medicine
Radiation Oncology

INTENDED USERS

Advanced Practice Nurses Dentists Nurses Physician Assistants Physicians

GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)

- To provide recommendations for screening and management of late effects in survivors of pediatric malignancies
- To increase quality of life and decrease complication-related healthcare costs for pediatric cancer survivors by providing standardized and enhanced followup care throughout the life-span that (a) promotes healthy lifestyles, (b) provides for ongoing monitoring of health status, (c) facilitates early identification of late effects, and (d) provides timely intervention for late effects

TARGET POPULATION

Asymptomatic survivors of childhood, adolescent, or young adult cancers who were treated with hematopoietic cell transplantation and who present for routine exposure-related medical follow-up

INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

Thorough history and physical examination, and screening evaluations

MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

Not stated

METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Hand-searches of Published Literature (Primary Sources) Hand-searches of Published Literature (Secondary Sources) Searches of Electronic Databases

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

Pertinent information from the published medical literature over the past 20 years (updated as of October 2005) was retrieved and reviewed during the development and updating of these guidelines. For each therapeutic exposure, a complete search was performed via MEDLINE (National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, MD). Keywords included "childhood cancer therapy," "complications," and "late effects," combined with keywords for each therapeutic exposure. References from the bibliographies of selected articles were used to broaden the search.

NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Expert Consensus (Committee)
Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

"High-level evidence" (recommendation category 1) was defined as evidence derived from high quality case control or cohort studies.

"Lower-level evidence" (recommendation categories 2A and 2B) was defined as evidence derived from non-analytic studies, case reports, case series, and clinical experience.

METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Systematic Review with Evidence Tables

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

The guidelines were scored by the multidisciplinary panel of experts using a modified version of the National Criteria: Comprehensive Cancer Network "Categories of Consensus" system. Each score reflects the expert panel's assessment of the strength of data from the literature linking a specific late effect with a therapeutic exposure, coupled with an assessment of the appropriateness of the screening recommendation based on the expert panel's collective clinical experience. "High-level evidence" (category 1) was defined as evidence derived from high quality case control or cohort studies. "Lower-level evidence" (categories 2A and 2B) was defined as evidence derived from non-analytic studies, case reports, case series and clinical experience. Rather than submitting recommendations representing major disagreements, items scored as "Category 3" were either deleted or revised by the panel of experts to provide at least a "Category 2B" score for all recommendations included in the guidelines.

METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Expert Consensus

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

In 2002, the leadership of the Children's Oncology Group Late Effects Committee and Nursing Discipline appointed a 7-member task force, with representation from the Late Effects Committee, Nursing Discipline, and Patient Advocacy Committee. The task force was convened to review and summarize the medical literature and develop a draft of clinical practice guidelines to direct long-term follow-up care for pediatric cancer survivors. The task force followed a modified version of the guideline development process established by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), integrating available literature with expert opinion using reiterative feedback loops.

The original draft went through several iterations within the task force prior to initial review. Multidisciplinary experts in the field, including nurses, physicians (pediatric oncologists and other subspecialists), patient advocates, behavioral specialists, and other healthcare professionals, were then recruited by the task force to provide an extensive, targeted review of the draft, including focused review of selected guideline sections. Revisions were made based on these recommendations. The revised draft was then sent out to additional multidisciplinary experts for further review. A total of 62 individuals participated in the review process. The guidelines subsequently underwent comprehensive review and scoring by a panel of experts in the late effects of pediatric malignancies, comprised of multidisciplinary representatives from the COG Late Effects Committee.

Revisions

In order to keep the guidelines current and clinically meaningful, the COG Late Effects Committee organized 18 multi-disciplinary task forces in March 2004. These task forces were charged with the responsibility for monitoring the medical literature in regard to specific system-related clinical topics relevant to the guidelines (e.g., cardiovascular, neurocognitive, fertility/reproductive), providing periodic reports to the Late Effects Committee, and recommending revisions to the guidelines and their associated health education materials and references (including the addition of therapeutic exposures) as new information became available. Task force members were assigned according to their respective areas of expertise and clinical interest. A list of these task forces and their membership is included in the "Contributors" section of the original guideline document. The revisions incorporated into the current release of these guidelines (Version 2.0 – March 2006) reflect the contributions and recommendations of these task forces.

All revisions proposed by the task forces were evaluated by a panel of experts, and if accepted, assigned a score (see "Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence"). Proposed revisions that were rejected by the expert panel were returned with explanation to the relevant task force chair. If desired, task force chairs were given an opportunity to respond by providing additional justification and resubmitting the rejected task force recommendation(s) for further consideration by the expert panel. A total of 34 sections and 9 Health Links were added to Version 2.0 of these guidelines.

Each score relates to the strength of the association of the identified late effect with the specific therapeutic exposure based on current literature, and is coupled with a recommendation for periodic health screening based on the collective clinical experience of the panel of experts. This is due to the fact that there are no randomized clinical trials (and none forthcoming in the foreseeable future) on which to base recommendations for periodic screening evaluations in this population; therefore, the guidelines should not be misconstrued as representing conventional "evidence-based clinical practice guidelines" or "standards of care".

Each item was scored based on the level of evidence currently available to support it. Scores were assigned according to a modified version of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network "Categories of Consensus," as follows:

- 1 There is uniform consensus of the panel that (1) there is high-level evidence linking the late effect with the therapeutic exposure, and (2) the screening recommendation is appropriate based on the collective clinical experience of panel members.
- 2A There is uniform consensus of the panel that (1) there is lower-level evidence linking the late effect with the therapeutic exposure, and (2) the screening recommendation is appropriate based on the collective clinical experience of panel members.
- 2B There is non-uniform consensus of the panel that (1) there is lower-level evidence linking the late effect with the therapeutic exposure, and (2) the screening recommendation is appropriate based on the collective clinical experience of panel members.
- 3 There is major disagreement that the recommendation is appropriate.

COST ANALYSIS

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

External Peer Review Internal Peer Review

DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

The initial version of the guidelines (Version 1.0 – Children's Oncology Group Late Effects Screening Guidelines) was released to the Children's Oncology Group (COG) membership in March 2003 for a six-month trial period. This allowed for initial feedback from the COG membership, resulting in additional review and revision of the guidelines by the Late Effects Committee prior to public release.

Revisions

All revisions proposed by the task forces were evaluated by a panel of experts, and if accepted, assigned a score (see "Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence"). Proposed revisions that were rejected by the expert panel were returned with explanation to the relevant task force chair. If desired, task force chairs were given an opportunity to respond by providing additional justification and resubmitting the rejected task force recommendation(s) for further consideration by the expert panel.

RECOMMENDATIONS

MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

Grades of recommendations (1, 2A, 2B, 3) are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

Note from the Children's Oncology Group and the National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC): The Children's Oncology Group Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines for Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancers (COG LTFU) are organized according to therapeutic exposures; this guideline has been divided into individual summaries. In addition to the current summary, the following are available:

- Sections 1-2: Any Cancer Experience
- Sections 3–5: Serum/Blood Products
- Sections 6–37: Chemotherapy
- Sections 38–91: Radiation
- Sections 107–132: Surgery
- Sections 133–136: Other Therapeutic Modalities
- Sections 137–146: Cancer and General Health Screening

In order to accurately derive individualized screening recommendations for a specific childhood cancer survivor using this guideline, see "Using the COG LTFU Guidelines to Develop Individualized Screening Recommendations" in the <u>original guideline document</u>. (Note: For ease of use, a Patient-Specific Guideline Identification Tool has been developed to streamline the process and is included in <u>Appendix I</u> of the original guideline document.)

Guideline Organization

The Children's Oncology Group Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines for Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancers are organized according to therapeutic exposures, arranged by column as follows:

System Body system (e.g., auditory, musculoskeletal) most relevant to

each guideline section.

Score Score assigned by expert panel representing the strength of

data from the literature linking a specific late effect with a therapeutic exposure coupled with an assessment of the appropriateness of the screening recommendation based on

collective clinical experience.

Section Number

Unique identifier for each guideline section corresponding with listing in Index.

Therapeutic Agent

Therapeutic intervention for malignancy, including chemotherapy, radiation, surgery, blood/serum products, hematopoietic cell transplant, and other therapeutic modalities.

Risk Factors

Host factors (e.g., age, sex, race, genetic predisposition), treatment factors (e.g., cumulative dose of therapeutic agent, mode of administration, combinations of agents), medical conditions (e.g., pre-morbid or co-morbid conditions), and health behaviors (e.g., diet, smoking, alcohol use) that may increase risk of developing the complication.

Highest Risk Factors

Conditions (host factors, treatment factors, medical conditions and/or health behaviors) associated with the highest risk for developing the complication.

Periodic Evaluations

Recommended screening evaluations, including health history, physical examination, laboratory evaluation, imaging, and psychosocial assessment. Recommendation for minimum frequency of periodic evaluations is based on risk factors and magnitude of risk, as supported by the medical literature and/or the combined clinical experience of the reviewers and panel of experts.

Health Counseling/ Further Considerations

Health Links: Health education materials developed specifically to accompany these guidelines. Title(s) of Health Link(s) relevant to each guideline section are referenced in this column. Health Link documents are included in Appendix II of the original guideline document.

Counseling: Suggested patient counseling regarding measures to prevent/reduce risk or promote early detection of the potential treatment complication.

Resources: See the original guideline document for lists of books and web sites that may provide the clinician with additional relevant information.

Considerations for Further Testing and Intervention: Recommendations for further diagnostic evaluations beyond minimum screening for individuals with positive screening tests, recommendations for consultation and/or referral, and recommendations for management of exacerbating or predisposing conditions.

References

References are listed immediately following each guideline section in the original guideline document. Included are medical citations that provide evidence for the association of the therapeutic intervention with the specific treatment complication and/or evaluation of predisposing risk factors. In addition, some general review articles have been included in the Reference section of the original guideline document for clinician convenience.

Note: See the end of the "Major Recommendations" field for explanations of <u>abbreviations</u> included in the summary.

System = SMN Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	
92	нст	Acute myeloid	Treatment	Host	History	†
		leukemia	Factors	Factors		
	Info Link:				Fatigue	:
	Complications after	Myelodysplasia	Radiation therapy	Older age		
	HCT have		Stem cell		Bleeding	
	multifactorial		mobilization with	Treatment Factors	Ency byuicing	
	etiology: prior therapy for primary		etoposide Alkylating agent	ractors	Easy bruising	
	malignancy;		chemotherapy	Autologous	(Yearly up to 10	
	intensity of		Epipodophyllotoxins	transplant	years after	
	transplant		Anthracyclines	for non-	transplant)	
	conditioning; stem		Autologous	Hodgkin's		
	cell product (e.g.,		transplant	and	Physical	
	marrow, cord blood,		·	Hodgkin's	_	
	peripheral stem			lymphoma	Dermatologic	
	cells); donor (e.g.,				exam (pallor,	
	autologous,				petechiae,	
	allogeneic,				purpura)	
	unrelated); quality				(Vandy to 10	
	of donor to recipient match; complication				(Yearly up to 10 years after	
	of transplant				transplant)	
	process				transplant)	
	(immunosuppression				Screening	
	and GVHD);					1
	complications in the				CBC/differential	1
	post-transplant					1
	period; underlying				(Yearly up to 10	
	disease; host				years after	
	genetic factors;				transplant)	
	lifestyle behaviors.					
	This section includes					
	late treatment complications that					
	may be observed in					
	HCT recipients not					
	covered elsewhere					
	in these guidelines.					
	Refer to the					
	guidelines listed at					
	the beginning of the					

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	C
	"Major Recommendations" section for specific details related to late complications of radiation and of specific chemotherapeutic agents.					

 $oldsymbol{Note}:$ See a list of $\underline{Abbreviations}$ at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = SMN Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
93	нст	Solid tumors	Host Factors	Treatment Factors	Physical	Health Links
			1.00015		Evaluation	See "Patient
			Younger age at	ТВІ	for benign or	Resources" field
			transplant Fanconi's		malignant neoplasms	Reducing the Risk of Second Cancers
			anemia			
			Treatment		(Yearly)	Considerations for Further
			Factors			Testing and Intervention
			Radiation			
			therapy			Females with cGVHD appear to
			Medical Conditions			be at increased risk for cervical
			Hepatitis C infection			cancer and should, at minimum, have pelvic exams and
			cGVHD Human			PAP testing according to ACS
			papilloma virus			recommendations (see Section 138
			infection			in the Cancer a

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
			(females)			General Health Screening guideline listed at the beginning of the "Major Recommendations" field) with more aggressive monitoring as clinically indicated. Oncology consultation as clinically indicated.

System = SMN Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
94	нст	Lymphoma	Medical Conditions cGVHD	Medical Conditions Chronic hepatitis C	Physical Lymphadenopathy Splenomegaly	Considerations for Further Testing and Intervention
				with siderosis and steatosis	(Yearly)	Oncology consultation as clinically indicated.

 $\textbf{Note} \colon \mathsf{See} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{list} \ \mathsf{of} \ \underline{\mathsf{Abbreviations}} \ \mathsf{at} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{end} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{"Major} \ \mathsf{Recommendations"}$ field.

System = GI/Hepatic Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Late	Risk Factors	Highest Risk	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
		Effects		Factors		

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
95	НСТ	Hepatic toxicity	Treatment Factors	Medical Conditions	Screening	Health Links
					ALT	See "Patient Resources"
		Chronic	History of	Chronic		field
		hepatitis	multiple	hepatitis C	AST	
		Cirrhosis	transfusions	with		Liver Health
		Iron	Radiation to	siderosis	Bilirubin	Gastrointestinal Health
		overload	the liver Antimetabolite	and steatosis	Ferritin	Considerations for
			therapy	Steatosis	remun	Further Testing and
			Спегару		(Baseline	Intervention
			Medical		at entry	
			Conditions		into long-	Prothrombin time for
					term	evaluation of hepatic
			cGVHD		follow-up.	synthetic function in patient
			Viral hepatitis		Repeat as	with abnormal liver
			History of		clinically	screening tests. Screen for
			VOD		indicated.)	viral hepatitis in patients
			Health			with persistently abnormal liver function or any patient
			Behaviors			transfused prior to 1993.
						Note: PCR testing for HCV
			Alcohol use			may be required in
						immunosuppressed patients
						who are negative for
						antibody.
						Gastroenterology/hepatolog
						consultation in patients witl persistent liver dysfunction
						or known hepatitis. Hepatit
						A and B immunizations in
						patients lacking immunity.
						Consider liver biopsy in
						patients with persistent
						elevation of ferritin (based
						on clinical context and
						magnitude of elevation).
						Consider phlebotomy or
						chelation therapy for
						treatment of iron overload. Consider erythropoietin in
						patients with iron overload

 $\textbf{Note} \colon \mathsf{See} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{list} \ \mathsf{of} \ \underline{\mathsf{Abbreviations}} \ \mathsf{at} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{end} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{"Major} \ \mathsf{Recommendations"} \ \mathsf{field}.$

System = Musculoskeletal Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseli Furthe Considerat
96	нст	Osteonecrosis	Host Factors	Treatment Factors	Screening	Health Linl
		(Avascular Necrosis) Info Link: Osteonecrosis typically occurs during the acute treatment phase, may progress over time or resolve. Multifocal osteonecrosis is significantly more common (3:1) than unifocal.	Age ≥10 years at time of transplant Treatment Factors Corticosteroids (dexamethasone effect is more potent than prednisone) TBI High-dose radiation to any bone Allogeneic HCT >autologous	Prolonged corticosteroid therapy (e.g., for chronic GVHD) Medical Conditions cGVHD	Joint pain Swelling Immobility Limited range of motion (Yearly) Physical Musculoskeletal exam (Yearly)	See "Patien Resources' field Osteonecros Considerat for Further Testing and Intervention MRI as clinic indicated in patients with history suggestive consteonecros (should be consultation patients with positive imaliant and/or symptoms on the evaluation of the e

System = Musculoskeletal Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Heal Counse Furth Consider
97	нст	Osteopenia	Host Factors	Host Factors	Screening	Health Li
		Osteoporosis	Both genders are at		Bone	See "Pati
			risk	Older age at	density	Resource
		Osteopenia is		time of	evaluation	field
		defined as	Treatment Factors	treatment	(DEXA or	l
		BMD >1 and		_	quantitative	Bone Heal
		<2.5 SD	Methotrexate	Treatment	CT)	_
		below mean	Corticosteroids	Factors	(5 1	Resource
		Osteoporosis	Cranial radiation	Drolon	(Baseline at	National
		is defined as	Medical Conditions	Prolonged corticosteroid	entry into	National
		BMD <u>></u> 2.5 SD below mean	Medical Conditions	therapy	long-term followup.	Osteoporo: Foundation
		Delow Illeali	Growth hormone	(e.g., for	Repeat as	website:
		Info Link:	deficiency	chronic	clinically	www.nof.o
		The World	Hypogonadism/delayed	GVHD)	indicated.)	<u>*************************************</u>
		Health	puberty	GVIID)	maicacca.)	Considera
		Organization	Hyperthyroidism		Info Link:	for Furthe
		definition of			The optimal	Testing a
		osteoporosis	Health Behaviors		method of	Intervent
		in adults is			measuring	
		based on	Inadequate intake of		bone health	Nutritional
		comparison of	calcium and vitamin D		in children is	supplemen
		a measured	Lack of weight bearing		controversial.	cases of
		BMD of young	exercise		Existing	osteopenia
		adults at peak	Smoking		technologies	unrespons
		bone age and	Alcohol use		have	behavioral
		defined as a			limitations.	dietary
		T-score. A T-score is the			DEXA	manageme Calcium 10
		number of			provides an estimate of	1500 mg c
		standard			total bone	plus RDA f
		deviations the			mass at a	vitamin D.
		BMD			given site.	caution
		measurement			Quantitative	regarding
		is above or			CT provides	calcium
		below the			distinct	supplemen
		YOUNG-			measures of	in patients
		NORMAL			trabecular	history of
		MEAN BMD. A			and cortical	lithiasis.
		T-score of			bone	Treatment

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Heal Counse Furth Consider
		≥2.5 standard deviations BELOW the mean is consistent with a diagnosis of osteoporosis. T-scores are not appropriate to assess skeletal health in pediatric patients who have not achieved peak adult bone mass. Instead, pediatric BMD reference data sets calculate Z-scores based on age and gender. A Z-score is the number of standard deviations the measurement is above or below the AGE-MATCHED MEAN BMD. There are not defined standards for referral or treatment of low BMD in children.			dimension and density.	exacerbat predispos conditions hormonal replacement therapy for hypogonal growth hormonic metabolic acidosis the could acceptone consultati patients whosteoporous the consultati patients whosteoporous the consultati patients who interventiful (e.g., bisphosphical citonin, selective estrogen receptor modulator

 $\textbf{Note} \colon \mathsf{See} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{list} \ \mathsf{of} \ \underline{\mathsf{Abbreviations}} \ \mathsf{at} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{end} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{"Major} \ \mathsf{Recommendations"}$ field.

With Chronic GVHD

System = Dermatologic Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
98	HCT with cGVHD	Dermatologic toxicity			Physical	Health Links
					Hair	See "Patient
		Permanent alopecia			(alopecia)	Resources" field
		Nail dysplasia			Nail	
		Vitiligo Scleroderma			(hypoplasia)	Skin Health
					Skin	
		Info Link:			(vitiligo,	
		More common with active			scleroderma)	
		cGVHD; effects may persist after cGVHD resolves.			(Yearly)	

 $\textbf{Note} \colon \mathsf{See} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{list} \ \mathsf{of} \ \underline{\mathsf{Abbreviations}} \ \mathsf{at} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{end} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{"Major} \ \mathsf{Recommendations"}$ field.

System = Ocular Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Healt Counsel Furthe Considera
99	HCT with cGVHD	Xerophthalmia (keratoconjunctivitis	Treatment Factors	Treatment Factors		Health Lir
		sicca)	Cranial	Radiation	Dry eyes (burning,	See "Pation Resources
		Info Link:	radiation Eye radiation	dose to eye	itching, foreign body	field
		More common with active cGVHD; effects	Radiomimetic chemotherapy	Radiation fraction >2	sensation, inflammation)	Eye Health
		may persist after	(e.g.,	Gy		Considera
		cGVHD resolves.	doxorubicin, dactinomycin)		(Yearly)	for Furthe Testing a
			dactinomyciny		Physical	Intervent

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Healt Counse Furtho Considera
					Eye exam (Yearly)	Supportive with artific tears. Schirmer's testing as clinically indicated. Ongoing ophthalmo follow-up fidentified problems. Consider esix month ophthalmo evaluation patients w corneal damage.

 $\textbf{Note} \colon \mathsf{See} \ \mathsf{a} \ \mathsf{list} \ \mathsf{of} \ \underline{\mathsf{Abbreviations}} \ \mathsf{at} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{end} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{the} \ \mathsf{"Major} \ \mathsf{Recommendations"} \ \mathsf{field}.$

System = Dental Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
100	HCT with cGVHD	Xerostomia	Treatment Factors	Treatment Factors	History	Health Links
		Salivary			Xerostomia	See "Patient
		gland	Head and	Salivary		Resources"
		dysfunction	l .	gland	(Yearly)	field
			involving the	radiation		
		Dental	parotid gland	dose <u>></u> 30	Physical	Dental Health
		caries	Higher	Gy		
			radiation		Oral exam	Considerations
		Periodontal	doses			for Further
		disease			(Yearly)	Testing and
			Radiomimetic		Screening	Intervention
		Oral cancer	chemotherapy			
			(e.g.,		Dental	Supportive care

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
		Info Link: More common with active cGVHD; effects may persist after cGVHD resolves.	doxorubicin, dactinomycin)		exam and cleaning (Every six months)	with saliva substitutes, moistening agents, and sialogogues (pilocarpine). Regular dental care including fluoride applications and regular screening for intraoral malignancy.

 $oldsymbol{Note}:$ See a list of $\underline{Abbreviations}$ at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = Pulmonary Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Healt Con
101	HCT with cGVHD	Pulmonary toxicity	Treatment Factors	Medical Conditions	History	Healt
		Bronchiolitis	Chest radiation TBI	Prolonged	Cough	See " Resou
		obliterans Chronic	Pulmonary toxic chemotherapy:	immunosuppression related to cGVHD	SOB	Pulmo
		bronchitis Bronchiectasis	Bleomycin Busulfan	and its treatment	DOE Wheezing	Resou
		Info Link: More common	Carmustine (BCNU)Lomustine		(Yearly)	Extens inform regard
		with active cGVHD;	(CCNU)		Physical	cessat
		effects may persist after cGVHD			Pulmonary exam	patien NCI's www.s
		resolves.			(Yearly)	Couns
					Screening	Couns

Sec	Therapeutic	Potential	Risk Factors	Highest Risk	Periodic	Healt
#	Agent(s)	Late Effects		Factors	Evaluation	Cor
					PFTs (including DLCO and spirometry) (Baseline at entry into long-term followup. Repeat as clinically indicated in patients with abnormal results or progressive pulmonary dysfunction.)	tobac avoid cessa who coscupation influe pneur

System = Immune Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Cou F Consi
102	HCT with cGVHD	Immunologic complications Secretory IgA deficiency Hypogammaglobulinemia		Host Factors Low CD4 T-cell count	History Chronic conjunctivitis	Consid for Fur Testing Interv

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Cou F Cons
		Chronic infections (e.g., conjunctivitis, sinusitis, and bronchitis associated with chronic GVHD) Info Link: Related to cGVHD; effects may persist or resolve over time.		Medical Conditions Prolonged immunosuppression related to cGVHD and its treatment	Chronic sinusitis Chronic bronchitis (Yearly) Physical Pulmonary exam (Yearly) Screening Eye exam Nasal exam Pulmonary exam (Yearly)	Consideranti-fur prophyl patients cGVHD of immuni infection consult assistar manage chronic

System = Immune Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health C Fu Consid
103	HCT with cGVHD	Functional asplenia	Treatment Factors	Host Factors	Physical	Health Lir
		<u>-</u>		Hypogammaglobulinemia	Physical	See "Patie
		At risk for life- threatening	Splenic radiation	,, ,	exam at time of	Resources
		infection with encapsulated	Ongoing immuno-		febrile illness to	Splenic pre
		organisms (e.g., Haemophilus	suppression		evaluate degree of illness	Considera Further To Intervent

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health (Fu Consid
		influenzae, streptococcus pneumoniae, meningococcus) Info Link: This section applies only to patients who have active cGVHD			and potential source of infection (When febrile T ≥ 101 degrees F) Screening Blood culture (When febrile T ≥ 101 degrees F)	Consider a prophylaxis encapsulat and bacteremia prophylaxis of immuno therapy for patients widegrees F degrees C) signs of se administer acting, bro parenteral (e.g., ceftr continue cl monitoring awaiting bl results. Ho and broade antimicrob (e.g., addit vancomyci necessary circumstanthe presen leukocytos neutropeni significant baseline Cl clinical appfever ≥104 meningitis, or other se of infectior septic shoop previous his serious infections in the presen leukocytos neutropeni significant baseline Cl clinical appfever ≥104 meningitis, or other se of infectior septic shoop previous his serious infections in the presen leukocytos neutropeni significant baseline Cl clinical appfever ≥104 meningitis, or other se of infectior septic shoop previous his serious infectiors and the previous his serious

System = GI/Hepatic Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
104	HCT with cGVHD	Esophageal stricture	Treatment Factors	Treatment Factors	History	Health Links
		Info Link:	Radiation	Radiation	Dysphagia	See "Patient Resources"
		Related to cGVHD;	involving the esophagus	dose <u>></u> 40 Gy	Heartburn	field
		generally not reversible	Radiomimetic chemotherapy (e.g.,		(Yearly)	Gastrointestinal Health
		over time.	doxorubicin, dactinomycin)			Considerations for Further Testing and
			Medical Conditions			Intervention
			Gastroesophageal reflux			Surgery and/or gastroenterology consultation for symptomatic patients.

Note: See a list of <u>Abbreviations</u> at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

System = Female reproductive Score = 1

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
105 (Female)	HCT with cGVHD	Vaginal fibrosis/stenosis Info Link:	Treatment Factors		History Psychosocial assessment	Considerations for Further Testing and Intervention
		Related to cGVHD; generally not reversible over time.	radiation		Dyspareunia Vulvar pain	Gynecologic consultation for management. Psychological

Sec #	Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
					Post-coital bleeding Difficulty with tampon insertion (Yearly)	consultation in patients with emotional difficulties.

System = Musculoskeletal

Score = 1

Therapeutic Agent(s)	Potential Late Effects	Risk Factors	Highest Risk Factors	Periodic Evaluation	Health Counseling Further Considerations
HCT with cGVHD	Joint contractures			Physical	Considerations for Further Testing
				Musculoskeletal	and Intervention
	Info Link:			exam	
	Related to cGVHD; generally not reversible			(Yearly)	Consultation with physical therapy, rehabilitation medicine/physiatrist.
	Agent(s) HCT with	Agent(s) Late Effects HCT with cGVHD Joint contractures Info Link: Related to cGVHD; generally not	Agent(s) Late Effects Factors HCT with cGVHD Joint contractures Info Link: Related to cGVHD; generally not	Agent(s) Late Effects Factors Risk Factors HCT with cGVHD Joint contractures Info Link: Related to cGVHD; generally not	Agent(s) Late Effects Factors Risk Factors Physical CGVHD Joint contractures Info Link: Related to cGVHD; generally not Risk Factors Rusk Factors Physical Musculoskeletal exam (Yearly)

Note: See a list of <u>Abbreviations</u> at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

Abbreviations

- ACS, American Cancer Society
- ALT, alanine aminotransferase
- AST, aspartate aminotransferase
- BMD, bone mineral density
- cGVHD, chronic graft versus host disease
- CBC, complete blood count
- CT, computed tomography
- CXR, chest x-ray
- DEXA, dual energy x-ray absorptiometry
- DLCO, diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide
- DOE, dyspnea on exertion
- GI, gastrointestinal

- GVHD, graft versus host disease
- Gy, gray
- HCT, hematopoietic cell transplant
- HCV, Hepatitis C virus
- HIB, Haemophilus influenza b vaccine
- IgA, immunoglobulin A
- MRI, magnetic resonance imaging
- NCI, National Cancer Institute
- PCP, Pneumocystis carinii pneumonia
- PCR, polymerase chain reaction
- PFTs, pulmonary function tests
- RDA, recommended daily allowance
- SD, standard deviation
- SMN, secondary malignant neoplasm
- SOB, shortness of breath
- T, temperature
- TBI, total body irradiation
- VOD, veno-occlusive disease

Definitions:

Explanation of Scoring for the Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines

- 1 There is uniform consensus of the panel that (1) there is high-level evidence linking the late effect with the therapeutic exposure, and (2) the screening recommendation is appropriate based on the collective clinical experience of panel members.
- 2A There is uniform consensus of the panel that (1) there is lower-level evidence linking the late effect with the therapeutic exposure, and (2) the screening recommendation is appropriate based on the collective clinical experience of panel members.
- 2B There is non-uniform consensus of the panel that (1) there is lower-level evidence linking the late effect with the therapeutic exposure, and (2) the screening recommendation is appropriate based on the collective clinical experience of panel members.
- 3 There is major disagreement that the recommendation is appropriate.

Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence

"High-level evidence" (recommendation category 1) was defined as evidence derived from high quality case control or cohort studies.

"Lower-level evidence" (recommendation categories 2A and 2B) was defined as evidence derived from non-analytic studies, case reports, case series, and clinical experience.

CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for each recommendation (see "Major Recommendations").

Although several well-conducted studies on large populations of childhood cancer survivors have demonstrated associations between specific exposures and late effects, the size of the survivor population and the rate of occurrence of late effects does not allow for clinical studies that would assess the impact of screening recommendations on the morbidity and mortality associated with the late effect. Therefore, scoring of each exposure reflects the expert panel's assessment of the level of literature support linking the therapeutic exposure with the late effect coupled with an assessment of the appropriateness of the recommended screening modality in identifying the potential late effect based on the panel's collective clinical experience.

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Potential benefits of implementing these guidelines into clinical practice include earlier identification of and intervention for late onset therapy-related complications in this at-risk population, potentially reducing or ameliorating the impact of late complications on the health status of survivors. In addition, ongoing healthcare that promotes healthy lifestyle choices and provides ongoing monitoring of health status is important for all cancer survivors.

POTENTIAL HARMS

Potential harms of guideline implementation include increased patient anxiety related to enhanced awareness of possible complications, as well as the potential for false-positive screening evaluations, leading to unnecessary further workup. In addition, costs of long-term follow-up care may be prohibitive for some patients, particularly those lacking health insurance, or those with insurance that does not cover the recommended screening evaluations.

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

 The information and contents of each document or series of documents made available by the Children's Oncology Group relating to late effects of cancer treatment and care or containing the title "Long-Term Follow-Up Guidelines for Survivors of Childhood, Adolescent, and Young Adult Cancers" or the title "Health Link," whether available in print or electronic format (including any digital format, e-mail transmission, or download from the website), shall be

- known hereinafter as "Informational Content." All Informational Content is for informational purpose only. The Informational Content is not intended to substitute for medical advice, medical care, diagnosis, or treatment obtained from a physician or healthcare provider.
- To cancer patients (if children, their parents or legal guardians): Please seek the advice of a physician or other qualified healthcare provider with any questions you may have regarding a medical condition and do not rely on the Informational Content. The Children's Oncology Group is a research organization and does not provide individualized medical care or treatment.
- To physicians and other healthcare providers: The Informational Content is
 not intended to replace your independent clinical judgment, medical advice,
 or to exclude other legitimate criteria for screening, health counseling, or
 intervention for specific complications of childhood cancer treatment. Neither
 is the Informational Content intended to exclude other reasonable alternative
 follow-up procedures. The Informational Content is provided as a courtesy,
 but not intended as a sole source of guidance in the evaluation of childhood
 cancer survivors. The Children's Oncology Group recognizes that specific
 patient care decisions are the prerogative of the patient, family, and
 healthcare provider.
- While the Children's Oncology Group has made every attempt to assure that
 the Informational Content is accurate and complete as of the date of
 publication, no warranty or representation, express or implied, is made as to
 the accuracy, reliability, completeness, relevance, or timeliness of such
 Informational Content.
- No liability is assumed by the Children's Oncology Group or any affiliated party or member thereof for damage resulting from the use, review, or access of the Informational Content. You agree to the following terms of indemnification: (i) "Indemnified Parties" include authors and contributors to the Informational Content, all officers, directors, representatives, employees, agents, and members of the Children's Oncology Group and affiliated organizations; (ii) by using, reviewing, or accessing the Informational Content, you agree, at your own expense, to indemnify, defend and hold harmless Indemnified Parties from any and all losses, liabilities, or damages (including attorneys' fees and costs) resulting from any and all claims, causes of action, suits, proceedings, or demands related to or arising out of use, review or access of the Informational Content.
- Ultimately, as with all clinical guidelines, decisions regarding screening and clinical management for any specific patient should be individually tailored, taking into consideration the patient's treatment history, risk factors, comorbidities, and lifestyle. These guidelines are therefore not intended to replace clinical judgment or to exclude other reasonable alternative follow-up procedures. The Children's Oncology Group recognizes that specific patient care decisions are the prerogative of the patient, family, and healthcare provider.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

Implementation of these guidelines is intended to standardize and enhance followup care provided to survivors of pediatric malignancies throughout the lifespan. Considerations in this regard include the practicality and efficiency of applying these broad guidelines in individual clinical situations. Studies to address guideline implementation and refinement are a top priority of the Children's Oncology Group (COG) Late Effects Committee, and proposals to study feasibility of guideline use in limited institutions are currently underway. Issues to be addressed include description of anticipated barriers to application of the recommendations in the guidelines and development of review criteria for measuring changes in care when the guidelines are implemented. Additional concerns surround the lack of current evidence establishing the efficacy of screening for late complications in pediatric cancer survivors. While most clinicians believe that ongoing surveillance for these late complications is important in order to allow for early detection and intervention for complications that may arise, development of studies addressing the efficacy of this approach is imperative in order to determine which screening modalities are optimal for asymptomatic survivors.

In addition, the clinical utility of this lengthy document has also been a top concern of the COG Late Effects Committee. While recognizing that the length and depth of these guidelines is important in order to provide clinically-relevant, evidence-based recommendations and supporting health education materials, clinician time limitations and the effort required to identify the specific recommendations relevant to individual patients have been identified as barriers to their clinical application. Therefore, the COG Late Effects Committee is currently partnering with the Baylor School of Medicine in order to develop a web-based interface, known as "Passport for Care," that will generate individualized exposure-based recommendations from these guidelines in a clinician-focused format for ease of patient-specific application of the guidelines in the clinical setting. As additional information regarding implementation of the Passport for Care web-based interface becomes available, updates will be posted at www.survivorshipquidelines.org.

IMPLEMENTATION TOOLS

Chart Documentation/Checklists/Forms Patient Resources Resources

For information about <u>availability</u>, see the "Availability of Companion Documents" and "Patient Resources" fields below.

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

IOM CARE NEED

Living with Illness Staying Healthy

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness Patient-centeredness

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Children's Oncology Group. Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Sections 92-106: hematopoetic cell transplant. Bethesda (MD): Children's Oncology Group; 2006 Mar. 17 p. [84 references]

ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

DATE RELEASED

2003 Sep (revised 2006 Mar)

GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

Children's Oncology Group - Medical Specialty Society

SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

This work was supported by the Children's Oncology Group grant U10 CA098543 from the National Cancer Institute.

GUIDELINE COMMITTEE

Children's Oncology Group Nursing Discipline and Late Effects Committee

COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Melissa M. Hudson, MD Vice-Chair – COG Late Effects Committee Member, Department of Hematology-Oncology Director, After Completion of Therapy Clinic St. Jude Children's Research Hospital Memphis, Tennessee

Wendy Landier, RN, MSN, CPNP, CPON® Chair – COG Nursing Clinical Practice Subcommittee Clinical Director - Survivorship Clinic City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center Duarte, California

Smita Bhatia, MD, MPH
Chair – COG Late Effects Committee
Professor and Chair, Division of Population Sciences
City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center
Duarte, California

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

All Children's Oncology Group (COG) members have complied with the COG conflict of interest policy, which requires disclosure of any potential financial or other conflicting interests.

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: Children's Oncology Group. Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Version 1.2. 2004 Mar.

GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the Children's Oncology Group Web site.

AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following are available:

- Instructions for use. Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Version 2.0. Children's Oncology Group. 2006 March. 6 p.
- Introductory material. Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Version 2.0. Children's Oncology Group. 2006 March. 9 p.
- Summary of cancer treatment. Appendix I: Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Version 2.0. Children's Oncology Group. 2006 March.
- Patient-specific guideline identification tool. Appendix I: Long-term follow-up guidelines for survivors of childhood, adolescent, and young adult cancers. Version 2.0. Children's Oncology Group. 2006 March.

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the Children's Oncology Group Web site.

PATIENT RESOURCES

In an effort led by the Nursing Clinical Practice Subcommittee, complementary patient education materials (*Health Links*) were developed and are available in Appendix II of the original guideline document. The following Health Links are relevant to this summary:

Sections 92, 93

Reducing the Risk of Second Cancers

Section 95

Liver Health

Sections 95, 104

Gastrointestinal Health

Section 96

Osteonecrosis

Section 97

• Bone Health

Section 98

Skin Health

Section 99

• Eye Health

Section 100

Dental Health

Section 101

• Pulmonary Health

Section 103

• Splenic Precautions

Please note: This patient information is intended to provide health professionals with information to share with their patients to help them better understand their health and their diagnosed disorders. By providing access to this patient information, it is not the intention of NGC to provide specific medical advice for particular patients. Rather we urge patients and their representatives to review this material and then to consult with a licensed health professional for evaluation of treatment options suitable for them as well as for diagnosis and answers to their personal medical questions. This patient information has been derived and prepared from a guideline for health care professionals included on NGC by the authors or publishers of that original guideline. The patient information is not reviewed by NGC to establish whether or not it accurately reflects the original guideline's content.

NGC STATUS

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on May 10, 2007. The information was verified by the guideline developer on June 11, 2007. This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on October 3, 2007 following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) advisory on Rocephin (ceftriaxone sodium). This summary was updated by ECRI Institute on March 21, 2008 following the FDA advisory on Erythropoiesis Stimulating Agents. This summary was updated by

ECRI Institute on August 15, 2008 following the U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory on Erythropoiesis Stimulating Agents (ESAs).

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions.

DISCLAIMER

NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

© 1998-2008 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 9/15/2008

